

ECE606: Solid State Devices


Lecture 6

Bandgap / Mass Measurements and Fermi-Dirac Statistics

Gerhard Klimeck
gekco@purdue.edu

- Reminder – Density of states
 - »Possible states as a function of Energy
- Reality check - Measurements of Bandgaps
- Reality check - Measurements of Effective Mass
- Rules of filling electronic states
- Derivation of Fermi-Dirac Statistics: three techniques
- Intrinsic carrier concentration
- Conclusions



Reference: Vol. 6, Ch. 3 & 4







Important things to remember:

- Momentum k entered our thinking as a quantum number
- Each quantum number is creating ONE state
- Often “just” need the number of available states in an energy range
=> Density of States
=> appears to be solely determined by
 - » 1) band edge,
 - » 2) effective mass

Photons are only absorbed between bands that have filled and empty states








$$E_G(T) = E_G(0) - \frac{\alpha T^2}{T + \beta}$$

- Reminder – Density of states
 - »Possible states as a function of Energy
- Reality check - Measurements of Bandgaps
- Reality check - Measurements of Effective Mass
- Rules of filling electronic states
- Derivation of Fermi-Dirac Statistics: three techniques
- Intrinsic carrier concentration
- Conclusions

Reference: Vol. 6, Ch. 3 & 4



Important things to remember:

- Full bands do not conduct – electrons have no space to go
- Empty bands do not conduct – there are no electrons to go around





Question:

- We are interested in the top-most valence band holes and the bottom-most electron states
- We want to figure out the slope of the bands
- How can we probe just one particular species of electrons/holes?
- We do not want to transfer them from one band to the next!

=> can we rotate the electrons around in a single band?

Energy=constant.

Liquid He temperature ...




$$m^* = \frac{qB_0}{2\pi\nu_0}$$

For an particle in (x-y) plane with B-field in z-direction, the Lorentz force is ...

$$\frac{m^* v^2}{r_0} = qv \times B_z = qvB_z$$


$$v = \frac{qB_0 r_0}{m^*}$$






$$\tau = \frac{2\pi r_0}{v} = \frac{2\pi m^*}{qB_0}$$


$$\nu_0 \equiv \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{qB_0}{2\pi m^*}$$

$$\omega_0 = 2\pi\nu_0 = \frac{qB_0}{m^*}$$



$$\nu_0 = \frac{qB_0}{2\pi m^*} \quad m^* = \frac{qB_0}{2\pi \nu_0}$$





$$\begin{array}{cccc} [\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}] & [\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}] & [\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}] & [\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}] \\ [111] & [111] & [111] & [111] \end{array}$$

4 angles between B field and the ellipsoids ...
Recall the HW1

Show that

$$\frac{1}{m_c^2} = \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{m_t^2} + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{m_l m_t}$$

Given three m_c and three θ ,
we will Find m_t , and m_l

The Lorentz force on electrons in a B-field


$$F = qv \times B = [M] \frac{dv}{dt}$$

In other words,


$$F_x = q(v_y B_z - v_z B_y) = m_t^* \frac{dv_x}{dt}$$

$$F_y = q(v_z B_x - v_x B_z) = m_t^* \frac{dv_y}{dt}$$

$$F_z = q(v_x B_y - v_y B_x) = m_l^* \frac{dv_z}{dt}$$



Let (B) make an angle (θ) with longitudinal axis of the ellipsoid (ellipsoids oriented along k_z)



$$B_x = B_0 \cos(\theta), \quad B_y = 0, \quad B_z = B_0 \sin(\theta),$$



Differentiate (v_y) and use other equations to find ...

$$\frac{d^2 v_y}{dt^2} + v_y \omega^2 = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \omega^2 \equiv \left[\omega_t \omega_l \sin^2 \theta + \omega_t^2 \cos^2 \theta \right]$$

$$\omega_0 \equiv \frac{qB_0}{m_c^*} \quad \omega_t \equiv \frac{qB_0}{m_t^*} \quad \omega_l \equiv \frac{qB_0}{m_l^*}$$

so that ...

$$\frac{1}{(m_c^*)^2} = \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{m_l m_t} + \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{m_t^2}$$



$$\frac{1}{m_c^2} = \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{m_t^2} + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{m_l m_t}$$


Three peaks B_1, B_2, B_3

Three masses m_{c1}, m_{c2}, m_{c3}

Three unique angles: 7, 65, 73

$m_c = \frac{qB_1}{2\pi\nu_0}$

Known θ and m_c allows calculation of m_t and



Which peaks relate to valence band?
Why are there two valence band peaks?


- 1) Only a fraction of the available states are occupied. The number of available states change with energy. DOS captures this variation.
- 2) DOS is an important and useful characteristic of a material that should be understood carefully.
- 3) Experimental measurements are key to making sure that the theoretical calculations are correct. We will discuss them in the next class.

- Reminder – Density of states
 - »Possible states as a function of Energy
- Reality check - Measurements of Bandgaps
- Reality check - Measurements of Effective Mass
- Rules of filling electronic states**
- Derivation of Fermi-Dirac Statistics: three techniques
- Intrinsic carrier concentration
- Conclusions


Reference: Vol. 6, Ch. 3 & 4

Carrier number = Number of states x *filling factor*


↑ ↑
 Chapters 2-3 Chapter 4




Energy-Band



Density of States





- ❑ Pauli Principle: Only one electron per state
- ❑ Total number of electrons is conserved $N_T = \sum_i N_i$
- ❑ Total energy of the system is conserved $E_T = \sum_i E_i N_i$

- Reminder – Density of states
 - »Possible states as a function of Energy
- Reality check - Measurements of Bandgaps
- Reality check - Measurements of Effective Mass
- Rules of filling electronic states
- Derivation of Fermi-Dirac Statistics: three techniques**
- Intrinsic carrier concentration
- Conclusions

In 1926, Fowler studied collapse of a star to white dwarf by F-D statistics, before Sommerfeld used the F-D statistics to develop a theory of electrons in metals in 1927. Wikipedia has a nice article on

~~this topic~~ between a trick and a method:

A method is a trick used more than once!

Particle conservation




$$N_T = \sum_i N_i$$

$$N_T = 5$$

Energy conservation

$$E_T = \sum_i E_i N_i$$

$$E_T = 12$$



$$W_{203} = \frac{2!}{1!2!} \cdot \frac{5!}{0!5!} \cdot \frac{7!}{3!4!}$$



$$= 35$$

$$W_{122} = \frac{2!}{1!1!} \cdot \frac{5!}{2!3!} \cdot \frac{7!}{5!2!}$$

$$= 420$$

$$W_{041} = \frac{2!}{0!2!} \cdot \frac{5!}{4!1!} \cdot \frac{7!}{6!1!}$$

$$= 35$$



Choose the most probable configuration.

$$E=4$$


$$f_3^* = \frac{2}{7}$$

$$E=2$$



$$f_2^* = \frac{2}{5}$$

$$E=0$$


$$f_1^* = \frac{1}{2}$$



$$W_{122} = 420$$




Side note:
So far everything shown here is EXACT!
No approximations on the occupation probability!
=> direct application to nano-scale electronics!



Stirling approx.

$$\ln(S!) \approx S \ln(S) - S \quad \text{for } S > 10$$



$$W_c = \prod_i \frac{S_i!}{(S_i - N_i)! N_i!}$$

Recall. $W_{203} = \frac{2!}{1!2!} \cdot \frac{5!}{0!5!} \cdot \frac{7!}{3!4!}$

$$\ln(W) = \sum_i [\ln S_i! - \ln(S_i - N_i)! - \ln N_i!]$$

$$\approx \sum_i [S_i \ln S_i - \textcolor{red}{S}_i - (S_i - N_i) \ln(S_i - N_i) + (\textcolor{red}{S}_i - \textcolor{blue}{N}_i) - N_i \ln N_i + \textcolor{blue}{N}_i]$$

$$= \sum_i [S_i \ln S_i - (S_i - N_i) \ln(S_i - N_i) - N_i \ln N_i]$$

Choose the most probable configuration.

$$\ln W = \sum_i \left[S_i \ln S_i - (S_i - N_i) \ln(S_i - N_i) - N_i \ln N_i \right]$$


$$\delta \ln(W) = \sum_i \frac{\partial \ln W}{\partial N_i} dN_i$$

$$= \sum_i \left[\ln \left(\frac{S_i}{N_i} - 1 \right) dN_i \right]$$

$$\approx \sum_i \left[\ln \left(\frac{S_i}{N_i} - 1 \right) dN_i \right] - \alpha \sum_i dN_i - \beta \sum_i E_i dN_i$$

$$= \sum_i \left[\ln \left(\frac{S_i}{N_i} - 1 \right) - \alpha - \beta E_i \right] dN_i$$

$$= 0$$



Optimization with constraints!

Energy conservation

$$E_T = \sum_i E_i N_i$$

$$N_T = \sum_i N_i \quad \text{Particle conservation}$$

See additional notes on Lagrange multiplies on ece606 page and blackboard

$$\delta \ln W = \sum_i \left[\ln \left(\frac{S_i}{N_i} - 1 \right) - \alpha - \beta E_i \right] dN_i = 0$$


$$\left[\ln \left(\frac{S_i}{N_i} - 1 \right) - \alpha - \beta E_i \right] = 0$$


$$f(E) \equiv \frac{N_i}{S_i} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\alpha + \beta E}} \quad f_{\max}(E) = 1$$

$$\text{At } E = E_F, \quad f(E_F) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \alpha + \beta E_F = 0$$

$$f(E) = \frac{N_i}{S_i} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta(E - E_F)}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{(E - E_F)/k_B T}}$$

$$\text{At } E \rightarrow \infty, \quad f_{\text{Boltzman}}(E) = A e^{-E/k_B T} \Rightarrow \beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}$$






Detailed Balance in Equilibrium

$$f_0(E_1)f_0(E_2)[1-f_0(E_3)][1-f_0(E_4)] \xrightarrow{\text{Pauli Exclusion}} f_0(E_3)f_0(E_4)[1-f_0(E_1)][1-f_0(E_2)]$$

Energy conservation


$$E_1 + E_2 = E_3 + E_4 \quad \text{Only solution is } \dots f_0(E) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta(E-E_F)}}$$

□ Pauli Principle, energy, and number conservation all satisfied



$$P_i = \frac{e^{-\beta(E_i - N_i E_F)}}{\sum_i e^{-\beta(E_i - N_i E_F)}} \equiv \frac{e^{-\beta(E_i - N_i E_F)}}{Z}$$


$$\beta = 1/k_B T$$



<i>state</i>	E_i	N_i	P_i
0	0	0	$e^{-\beta(0 - 0 \times E_F)} / Z$
1	1	1	$e^{-\beta(E_i - 1 \times E_F)} / Z$

state	E_i	N_i	P_i
0	0	0	$e^{-\beta(0-0 \times E_F)} / Z$
1	1	1	$e^{-\beta(E_i-1 \times E_F)} / Z$

Probability that state is filled ...





$$f(E) = \frac{P_1}{P_0 + P_1}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-(E_i - E_F)/k_B T} / Z}{1/Z + e^{-(E_i - E_F)/k_B T} / Z}$$


$$= \frac{1}{1 + e^{(E_i - E_F)/k_B T}}$$

- ❑ Applies to all spin-1/2 particles
- ❑ Information about spin is not explicit; multiply DOS by 2.
May be more complicated for magnetic semiconductors.
- ❑ Coulomb-interaction among particles is neglected,
Therefore it applies to extended solids, not to small molecules




- Reminder – Density of states
 - »Possible states as a function of Energy
- Reality check - Measurements of Bandgaps
- Reality check - Measurements of Effective Mass
- Rules of filling electronic states
- Derivation of Fermi-Dirac Statistics: three techniques
- Intrinsic carrier concentration
- Conclusions


DOS



F-D



concentration



$$n = \int_{E_c}^{E_{top}} g_c(E) f(E) dE$$

$$= \int_{E_c}^{E_{top}} 2 \times \frac{m_n^* \sqrt{2m_n^* (E - E_c)}}{2\pi^2 \hbar^3} \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta(E - E_F)}} dE$$

Include spin factor of 2



$$\simeq \int_{E_c}^{\infty} \frac{m_n^* \sqrt{2m_n^* (E - E_c)}}{\pi^2 \hbar^3} \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta(E - E_c)} e^{\beta(E_c - E_F)}} dE$$

Assume wide bands


$$= N_c \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} F_{1/2}(\eta_c) \quad \eta_c \equiv \beta(E_F - E_c)$$

$$N_c \equiv 2 \left(\frac{2\pi m_n^* \beta}{h^2} \right)^{3/2} \quad F_{1/2}(\eta) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{\xi} d\xi}{1 + e^{\xi - \eta}}$$

$$n = N_C \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} F_{1/2}(\eta_c) \rightarrow N_C e^{\eta_c} \quad \text{if} \quad -\eta_c \equiv \beta(E_C - E_F) > 3$$



$$n = N_C \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} F_{1/2}(\eta_c) \rightarrow N_C e^{-\beta(E_c - E_F)} \quad \text{if} \quad E_c - E_F > 3\beta$$




As if all states are at a single level E_c

$$n = N_C e^{-\beta(E_c - E_F)}$$

$$p = N_V e^{+\beta(E_v - E_F)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n \times p &= N_C N_V e^{-\beta(E_c - E_v)} \\ &= N_C N_V e^{-\beta E_g} \end{aligned}$$



Product is independent of the Fermi level!
 Very useful balance equation! Will use it often

$$n = p = n_i$$



$$n_i^2 = N_C N_V e^{-\beta E_g}$$

$$n_i = \sqrt{N_C N_V} e^{-\beta E_g / 2}$$

$$E_F \equiv E_i$$

$$n = p \Rightarrow N_C e^{-\beta(E_c - E_i)} = N_V e^{+\beta(E_v - E_i)}$$

$$E_i = \frac{E_G}{2} + \frac{1}{2\beta} \ln \frac{N_V}{N_C}$$



- We discussed how electrons are distributed in electronic states defined by the solution of Schrodinger equation.
- Since electrons are distributed according to their energy, irrespective of their momentum states, the previously developed concepts of constant energy surfaces, density of states etc. turn out to be very useful.
=> will not discuss Schroedinger Eq. anymore
=> everything is captured in bandedges and effective masses
- We still do not know where E_F is for general semiconductors ... If we did, we could calculate electron concentration.

- Reminder – Density of states
 - »Possible states as a function of Energy
- Reality check - Measurements of Bandgaps
- Reality check - Measurements of Effective Mass
- Rules of filling electronic states
- Derivation of Fermi-Dirac Statistics: three techniques
- Intrinsic carrier concentration
- Conclusions